

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/082,085	Applicant(s) AUGSBURG ET AL.	
	Examiner Barry J. O'Brien	Art Unit 2183	

All Participants:

(1) Barry J. O'Brien.

(2) Scott Reid.
Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 July 2004
Time: 10am EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
none
Claims discussed:
1, 5, 9 and 13
Prior art documents discussed:
none
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


**RICHARD L. ELLIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the Applicant seeking approval of some minor corrections so that the claims would be put in condition for allowance. Specifically, the Examiner proposed changing the claim language "does not have an illegal" to "has a legal" to more positively recite the limitation, and also to include the limitation "the legal opcode" when describing what is being re-encoded into the re-mapped illegal opcode, in order to be more inline with the specification (page 9 lines 24-27 of the Specification) and make the operation of the claimed invention more clear. The Applicant agreed to the proposed changes and authorized the Examiner to do so via Examiner's Amendment..

BEST AVAILABLE COPY